

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1349V

Filed: March 31, 2020

UNPUBLISHED

JENNIFER A. BROOKS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Master Horner

Order Concluding Proceedings;
Vaccine Rule 21(a)

*Nancy Routh Meyers, Turning Point Litigation, Greensboro, NC, for petitioner.
Heather Lynn Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS¹

On March 31, 2020, petitioner filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal, stating that the “parties hereby stipulate pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a) that this action shall be dismissed.” (ECF No. 25.)

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a), this case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Daniel T. Horner

Daniel T. Horner

Special Master

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master’s action in this case, it will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. See 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, it will be redacted from public access.